



WEST OXFORDSHIRE
DISTRICT COUNCIL

WEST OXFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Name and date of Committee	Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee – Thursday 1 October 2020
Report Number	Agenda Item No. 8
Subject	Motion: Firework Displays
Wards affected	All
Accountable member	Cllr Alaa Al-Yousuf, Chairman Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee Email: alaa.alyousuf@westoxon.gov.uk
Accountable officer	Keith Butler Head of Democratic Services Tel: 01993 861521 Email: keith.butler@westoxon.gov.uk
Summary/Purpose	To consider the motion referred by Council on 26 February 2020, and agree comments/recommendations back to the Council.
Annex	Annex 1 : Minute from the Council meeting held 26 February
Recommendation	That the Committee considers the motion and agrees comments/recommendations to be submitted to the Council.
Corporate priorities	Not applicable.
Key Decision	N/A
Exempt	No
Consultees/ Consultation	None

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1. At the meeting of the Council on 26 February 2020, the following motion was proposed and seconded by Councillors Toby Morris and Suzi Coul respectively:

“This Council resolves:

- (a) To ask for all public firework displays within the local authority boundaries to be advertised in advance of the event, allowing residents to take precautions for their animals and vulnerable people*
- (b) To actively promote a public awareness campaign about the impact of fireworks on animal welfare and vulnerable people – including the precautions that can be taken to mitigate risks*
- (c) To write to the UK Government urging them to introduce legislation to limit the maximum noise level of fireworks to 90dB for those sold to the public for private displays*
- (d) To encourage local suppliers of fireworks to stock ‘quieter’ fireworks for public display”.*

- 1.2. The draft minute of the Council meeting is attached at [Annex 1](#).

2. MAIN POINTS

- 2.1. As can be seen from the draft minute included in [Annex 1](#) the proposer and seconder agreed to incorporate two proposed amendments into the motion at paragraphs (c) and (d); and the Council agreed to refer the motion to this Committee for consideration.
- 2.2. The Committee is accordingly invited to consider the matter, and to agree comments/recommendations for submission to the Council.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1. There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1. None

5. RISK ASSESSMENT

- 5.1. Not applicable

6. ALTERNATIVES/OPTIONS

- 6.1. Not applicable.

7. BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 7.1. None

**Extract from the minutes of the Council meeting
held 26 February 2020**

NOTICE OF MOTION – FIREWORK DISPLAYS

The following motion had been received in the names of Councillors Toby Morris and Suzi Coul, namely:-

“This Council resolves:

(a) To ask for all public firework displays within the local authority boundaries to be advertised in advance of the event, allowing residents to take precautions for their animals and vulnerable people

(b) To actively promote a public awareness campaign about the impact of fireworks on animal welfare and vulnerable people – including the precautions that can be taken to mitigate risks

(c) To write to the UK Government urging them to introduce legislation to limit the maximum noise level of fireworks to 90dB for those sold to the public for private displays

(d) To encourage local suppliers of fireworks to stock ‘quieter’ fireworks for public display”.

Councillor Morris proposed the motion and explained that the matter had been brought to his attention by local residents who had been made aware of a similar proposal by the RSPCA. He added that as a dog owner himself, he was sympathetic to the intentions of the motion and whilst he did not wish to advocate being a ‘kill-joy’ considered it was one the Council should support. Councillor Morris explained that most public displays would already be advertised in advance, but the requirement to implement a maximum noise limit was due to the majority of private displays not being advertised to local residents and he hoped for these reasons, Council would support the motion.

The motion was seconded by Councillor Coul.

Councillor Postan expressed the view that whilst fireworks were a part of British history, they were, in his view, an uncontrolled and unlicensed nuisance which caused risks to livestock and pets. He added that he considered the activity was one that should be licensed and he therefore supported a review of the Council’s licensing system, should the motion be supported, to ensure firework displays were included as part of that system.

Councillor Bolger commented that whilst she supported the intentions of the motion, she wished to propose an amendment to recommendations (c) and (d) of the motion so as to read; “(c) To write to the UK Government urging them to introduce legislation to limit the environmental impact and maximum noise level of fireworks to 90dB for those sold to the public for private displays”; and “(d) To encourage local suppliers of fireworks to stock climate-friendly and ‘quieter’ fireworks for public display”.

Councillors Morris and Coul confirmed that they were happy to accept the amendment as proposed by Councillor Bolger.

Councillor Leffman informed the Council that whilst she agreed with the views of Councillor Postan, she considered that the main issue was with private displays and was unsure how lowering the decibel level would address this issue. Councillor Leffman therefore proposed that the motion be referred to the Council’s Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

Councillor Morris and Coul confirmed that they were content for the motion, as amended, to be referred to that Committee, and it was -.

RESOLVED: That the revised motion, having been duly proposed and seconded, be referred to the Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee for comment and advice.